

### REMARKS

The present amendment is being filed under a Certificate of Mailing as indicated. Claims 1-34 are pending. Claims 1, 18, 30, and 34 have been amended.

#### **§103**

Claims 1-34 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 7,048,740 to White ("White"). Independent claim 1 is directed to an acetabular reamer that includes a body defining a relief surface that is operably connected to and defines an edge of a peripheral surface of the body. Independent claim 1 recites that the peripheral surface (14) is a truncated hemisphere with its boundaries defined by the end surface (20) and the relief surface (22). Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitation of a driver connector that is directly coupled to the end surface and is spaced from the support structure.

It is the Applicants' belief that the prior art does not disclose such a feature. White is directed to an acetabular reamer that includes an external surface 436, a peripheral base 432, and a mounting bar 440 having armatures 441. There is no disclosure in White of a driver connector that is directly coupled to the end surface.

Therefore, for at least this reason, claim 1 and its dependents are believed to be allowable over the prior art.

Independent claim 18 recites a first relief surface that is operably connected to a peripheral surface of the body and a second relief surface that is operably connected to a peripheral surface of the body. The claim has been amended to include a driver connector that is directly coupled to the end surface and is spaced from the first and second support structures. As stated above in reference to claim 1, White does not disclose this feature. For at least this reason, claim 18 and its dependents are believed to be allowable over the prior art.

Independent claim 30 has also been amended to include a driver connector that is directly coupled to the end surface and is spaced from the support structure. Therefore, for at least the reasons discussed in relation to claim 1, independent claim 30 and its dependents are believed to be allowable over the prior art.

Independent claim 34 has also been amended to include a driver connector that is directly coupled to the end surface and is spaced from the support structure. Therefore, for

at least the reasons discussed in relation to claim 1, independent claim 34 is believed to be allowable over the prior art.

### **Conclusion**

For the above-described reasons it is respectfully submitted that the rejections to the claims have been overcome and that all remaining claims, namely claims 1-34 are currently in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Cynthia K. Barnett

/Cynthia K. Barnett/

Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 48,655

Johnson & Johnson  
One Johnson & Johnson Plaza  
New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003  
(574) 372-7332  
Date: July 16, 2009